



**KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES
"DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD**

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

STUDENT FEEDBACK

2016-17

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences

(July 2017)

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-07	Poor
08-14	Good
15-21	Very Good
22-28	Excellent


<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Anatomy	22.574	5.737	Excellent
2	Physiology	21.630	5.511	Excellent
3	Biochemistry	18.296	4.557	Very Good
4	Pharmacology	24.450	4.823	Excellent
5	Pathology	21.950	5.856	Excellent
6	Microbiology	22.850	5.332	Excellent
7	FMT	19.950	6.464	Very Good
8	Ophthalmology	25.477	3.564	Excellent
9	ENT	20.250	5.669	Very Good
10	PSM	26.250	3.516	Excellent
11	Medicine	18.250	7.585	Very Good
12	Surgery	20.250	6.254	Very Good
13	Obst. & Gynaec.	21.167	6.958	Very Good
14	Pediatrics	22.042	6.447	Excellent



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT



<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-15	Poor
16-30	Good
31-45	Very Good
46-60	Excellent

<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
Interns	33.792	15.914	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Library

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-20	Poor
21-40	Good
41-60	Very Good
61-80	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	50.15	20.20	Very Good
III/I	58.20	18.43	Very Good
III/III	61.25	16.58	Excellent
Interns	45.63	21.62	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Infrastructure

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-46	Poor
47-92	Good
93-138	Very Good
139-184	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	111.23	45.46	Very Good
III/I	130.79	39.28	Very Good
III/III	127.30	36.06	Very Good
Interns	106.30	46.29	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Teaching Staff

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-08	Poor
09-16	Good
17-24	Very Good
25-32	Excellent


Sr. No.	Name of the Department	No. of Teaching Staff	Frequency			
			Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	Anatomy	7	0	0	3	4
2	Physiology	7	0	0	4	3
3	Biochemistry	8	0	0	4	4
4	Pathology	11	0	0	8	3
5	Microbiology	6	0	0	2	4
6	Pharmacology	6	0	0	2	4
7	FMT	4	0	0	2	2
8	Ophthalmology	6	0	0	4	2
9	ENT	6	0	0	5	1
10	PSM	9	0	0	0	9
11	Medicine	21	0	0	19	2
12	Surgery	22	0	0	17	5
13	Obst. & Gynaec.	17	0	0	13	4
14	Paediatrics	14	0	0	10	4
Total Teaching Staff		144	0	0	93	51



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course

(December 2017)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-07	Poor
08-14	Good
15-21	Very Good
22-28	Excellent


<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Anatomy	26.556	3.296	Excellent
2	Physiology	25.667	4.818	Excellent
3	Biochemistry	26.778	2.941	Excellent
4	Pharmacology	24.96	4.5128	Excellent
5	Pathology	21.41	6.3298	Very Good
6	Microbiology	21.95	5.8584	Excellent
7	FMT	21.59	6.5368	Excellent
8	Ophthalmology	22.824	5.741	Excellent
9	ENT	13.912	6.687	Good
10	PSM	21.250	5.787	Very Good
11	Medicine	19.889	6.053	Very Good
12	Surgery	18.582	6.724	Very Good
13	Obst. & Gynaec.	22.685	5.575	Excellent
14	Paediatrics	21.769	5.586	Excellent



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT



<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-15	Poor
16-30	Good
31-45	Very Good
46-60	Excellent

<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
Interns	37.784	11.988	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Library

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-20	Poor
21-40	Good
41-60	Very Good
61-80	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	67.22	15.85	Excellent
III/I	51.10	20.27	Very Good
III/III	42.65	17.59	Very Good
Interns	45.63	21.62	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Infrastructure

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-46	Poor
47-92	Good
93-138	Very Good
139-184	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	146.01	40.95	Excellent
III/I	109.64	45.10	Very Good
III/III	101.53	40.43	Very Good
Interns	115.39	40.63	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Teaching Staff

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-08	Poor
09-16	Good
17-24	Very Good
25-32	Excellent


Sr. No.	Name of the Department	No. of Teaching Staff	Frequency			
			Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	Anatomy	7	0	0	0	7
2	Physiology	7	0	0	0	7
3	Biochemistry	8	0	0	0	8
4	Pathology	11	0	0	9	2
5	Microbiology	6	0	0	2	4
6	Pharmacology	6	0	1	1	4
7	FMT	4	0	0	1	3
8	Ophthalmology	6	0	0	5	1
9	ENT	5	0	0	5	0
10	PSM	9	0	0	8	1
11	Medicine	18	0	0	18	0
12	Surgery	22	0	0	21	1
13	Obst. & Gynaec.	16	0	0	14	2
14	Paediatrics	13	0	0	10	3
Total Teaching Staff		138	0	1	94	43



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

School of Dental Sciences


Students' Overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course

1st BDS (49)



2nd BDS (87)






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

3rd BDS (85)



4th BDS (71)






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q1. How was the syllabus?



Q2. Your background for benefitting from the course was:






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q3. Was the course conceptually difficult to understand?



Q4. How much of syllabus was covered in class?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q5. What is your opinion about the library materials for the course?



Q6. Were you able to get prescribed readings?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q7. How well did the teacher prepare for class?



Q8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q9. Did the teacher encourage student participation in class?



Q10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q11. Were your assignments discussed with you?



Q12. Was there any opportunity for small group work?





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

Students’ feedback was taken on following parameters: Design of course, professional skills of teachers, schedule of examination and results, feedback on complaint, student services, behaviour of staff and officers, facilities and extracurricular activities.

The feedback was taken as 5 point scale i.e 1.Poor 2.Average 3.Good 4.Very Good 5.Excellent.

The overall feedback of all course students related to above mentioned parameters was analysed and the results were as follows.

Course / Criteria	%
1ST YEAR B BSC NURSING	
EXCELENT	14
VERY GOOD	63
GOOD	23
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00
2ND YEAR B BSC NURSING	
EXCELENT	12
VERY GOOD	86
GOOD	2
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00
3RD YEAR B BSC NURSING	
EXCELENT	10



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

VERY GOOD	73
GOOD	17
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00
4TH YEAR B BSC NURSING	%
EXCELENT	93
VERY GOOD	7
GOOD	00
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00
Course / Criteria	%
1ST YEAR POST BASIC B SC NURSING	
	%
EXCELENT	14
VERY GOOD	57
GOOD	29
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00
2ND YEAR POST BASIC BBSC NURSING	%
EXCELENT	14
VERY GOOD	57
GOOD	29
AVERAGE	00



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

POOR	00
1ST YEAR MSC NURSING	
EXCELENT	64
VERY GOOD	36
GOOD	00
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00
2ND YEAR M SC NURSING	
EXCELENT	29
VERY GOOD	42
GOOD	29
AVERAGE	00
POOR	00



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

I BPTb

(2016 - 2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	ANATOMY	29.26	2.33	Excellent
2.	PHYSIOLOGY	27.83	2.77	Very Good
3.	BIOCHEMISTRY	26.71	2.27	Very Good
4.	FUND.EXE THERAPY	28.34	2.57	Excellent
5.	FUND. ELE THERAPY	28.07	2.18	Excellent



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

II BPTb

(2016 - 2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PATHO - MICRO	27.77	1.39	Very Good
2.	PHARMACOLOGY	27.98	1.76	Very Good
3.	PSYCHOLOGY	28.0	1.63	Very Good
4.	KINESIOTHERAPY	27.98	1.96	Very Good
5.	ELECTRICAL AGENT	27.96	1.75	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

III BPTb

(2016 - 2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	ORTHO SURGERY	25.05	3.09	Very Good
2.	MEDICINE	25.67	2.53	Very Good
3.	COMMUNITY HEALTH	24.9	3.21	Very Good
4.	OBGY	25.17	2.70	Very Good
5.	PSYCHIATRY	25.6	2.82	Very Good
6.	PDMS	25.67	2.43	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

IV BPTb

(2016 - 2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PT IN MUSCULO	27.37	1.30	Very Good
2.	PT IN NEURO	27.86	1.97	Very Good
3.	PT IN MEDICAL SURGICAL	27.77	1.0	Very Good
4.	PT IN COMMUNITY	27.977	1.61	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

I MPTb

(2016 - 2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PT PRAC I	28.5	1.24	Very Good
2.	PT PRAC II	28.33	1.49	Very Good
3.	ADV PT I	28.83	1.85	Very Good
4.	ADV PT II	27.58	1.37	Very Good
5.	RESEARCH & BIOSTAT	28	1.75	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE

II MPTb

(2016 - 2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	GENERAL	27	0	Very Good
2.	SPECIALITY	29.5	0.7	Excellent



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Students’ feedback on infrastructure

Parameters	% Students rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Infrastructure facilities provided	7.14%	16.66%	64.28%	11.90%
Academic facilities	2.3%	16.66%	6.28%	16.66%
Helpfulness of administrative staff	2.3%	19.04%	40.47%	38.09%
Availability of sitting space in library	11.90%	26.19%	38.09%	23.80%
Adequacy of additional inputs	4.7%	16.66%	40.47%	38.09%
Placement of Students	0%	2.3%	40.47%	57.14%
Availability of Books or Magazines in the Library	0%	14.28%	54.76%	30.95%
Availability of Laboratory facilities	0%	9.5%	50%	40.47%



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Students’ feedback on course content

Parameters	% Students rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Learning value(in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	0%	23.80%	47.61%	28.57%
Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	0%	23.80%	47.61%	28.57%
Depth of the course Contents	0%	19.04%	59.52%	21.42%
Extent of the coverage of Course	0%	26.19%	45.23%	28.57%
Clarity & relevance of reading material	0%	19.04%	45.23%	35.71%
Extent of effort required by students	0%	23.80%	35.71%	40.47%
Relevance/ learning value of project/ report	0%	16.66%	47.61%	35.71%
Overall rating	0%	9.52%	45.23%	45.23%



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Students’ feedback on teachers

PARAMETERS	% Students rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Knowledge base of the teacher(as perceive by you)	1%	19.04%	34.28%	45.71%
Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4.76%	16.18%	31.90%	47.14%
Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher	1.43%	16.66%	36.19%	45.71%
Interest generated by the teacher	2.38%	17.14%	29.05%	51.42%
Ability to integrate content with other courses	0%	14.76%	36.66%	48.09%
Accessibility of the teacher in & out of the class(included availability of the teacher to motivate further study & discussion outside class)	0.48%	16.66%	32.38%	50.47%
Ability to design quizzes/ tests/assignments/ examinations & project to evaluate students understanding of the course	1%	11.42%	36.66%	50.47%
Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.48%	11.42%	39.04%	49%
Overall rating	0%	6.19%	29.04%	64.76%



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Any other suggestions:

1. Revision lectures should be conducted
2. More practice tests need to be conducted before exams
3. Microbiology department should teach Virology & Parasitology separately. Virology syllabus should be started at the end of 2nd semester



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

TEACHER FEEDBACK

2016-17

Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

(1strongly disagree, 2disagree, 3neither neither agree nor disagree, 4agree, 5strongly agree)

Sr no	1	2	3	4	5	PARAMETERS
1	0	0	0	24(85.71%)	4(14.28%)	24(85.71%) reported agree for Syllabus is suitable to the course.
2	0	0	0	22(78.57%)	6(21.42%)	22(78.57%)reported agree for syllabus is need base
3	0	0	0	22(78.57%)	6(21.42%)	22(78.57%) reported agree for Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.
4	0	0	0	14(50%)	14(50%)	14(50%)reported agree for course content is followed by corresponding reference material
5	0	0	0	13(46.42%)	15(53.57%)	15(53.57%) reported Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the Library.
6	0	0	0	9(32.14%)	19(67.85%)	19(67.85%) reported agree for The course / syllabus has good balance between theory and application..
7	0	0	0	11(39.28%)	17(60.71%)	17(60.71%) reported agree for The course/syllabus has made me interested in the subject area
8	0	0	0	16(57.14%)	12(42.85%)	16(57.14%) reported agree for The course/syllabus of this subject increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.
9	0	0	0	15(53.57%)	13(46.42%)	15(53.57%)reported agree The course/programme of studies carries sufficient Number of optional papers



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

1	0	0	0	13(46.42%)	15(53.57%)	15(53.57%) reported agree for The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate.
1	0	0	0	8(28.57%)	20(71.42%)	20(71.42%) reported agree for Infrastructural facilities, such as teacher’s rooms/carrels, class rooms, reading rooms and toilets are available in the Department
1	0	0	0	11(39.28%)	17(60.71%)	17(60.71%) reported agree for Staff canteen is available at the faculty level.
1	0	0	0	15(53.57%)	13(46.42%)	15(53.57%)reported agree for I have the freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus
1	0	0	0	20(71.42%)	8(28.57%)	20(71.42%) reported agree for I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/strategies of teaching such as seminar presentations, group discussions and learners’ participations
1	0	0	0	22(78.57%)	6(21.42%)	22(78.57%) reported agree for I have the freedom to adopt/adapt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students
1	0	0	0	13(46.42%)	15(53.57%)	15(53.57%) reported agree for The environment in the department is conducive to teaching and research
1	0	0	0	5(17.85%)	23(82.14%)	23(82.14%) reported agree for The administration is teacher friendly.
1	0	0	0	8(28.57%)	20(71.42%)	20(71.42%) reported agree for The University provides adequate and smooth support for projects and research facilities.
1	0	0	0	8(28.57%)	20(71.42%)	20(71.42%) reported agree and strongly agree for The University provides adequate funding and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.
2	0	0	0	8(28.57%)	20(71.42%)	20(71.42%) reported agree for Provisions for professional development are non-discriminatory and fair.



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – I BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Fundamentals of exercise therapy	30.5	0.707107	Excellent
2	Fundamentals of electro therapy	30	1.414214	excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II BPTd

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Psychology	32.5	2.12132	Excellent
2	Kinesiotherapeutics	30	1.41421	Excellent
3	Electrical agents	29.5	0.70711	Excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – III BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Community health	29	0	Excellent
2	PDMS	27.6667	1.52753	Very good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – IV BPTb

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT in musculoskeletal	30.6667	1.52753	Excellent
2	PT in neurosciences	28.3333	2.08167	Excellent
3	PT in medical and surgical	29.5	0.70711	Excellent
4	PT in community health	27	4.24264	Very good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – I MPT h

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT prac I	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
2	PT prac II	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
3	Adv PT I	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
4	Adv PT II	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
5	Research Biostatistics	30.2	1.31656	Excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II MPTb

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	General PT	29.7	0.67495	Excellent
2	Speciality	30.1	0.8756	excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

TEACHER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Any other suggestions:

1. Journal subscription should be provided for every subject
2. Facilities regarding e-learning should be provided with ease to the students
3. RVG facility should be provided in Pedodontics Department



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

2016-17

Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

Total newly registered alumni -100 which includes 8 PB BSc, 6 MSc. And 86 BSc Alumni.

Out of 810 responses, 231(29%) responses are excellent, 334(46%) responses are good 24(3%) poor and 181(22%) satisfactory.

40(40%) respondent has given excellent for overall experience of student and 13(13 %) respondent has given poor response for availability for seating space in library.

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	24	3
Satisfactory	181	22
Good	334	46
Excellent	231	29



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy


Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Alumini

BPTH

(2016-2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	YEAR	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	I BPTH	30	0	Excellent
2	II BPTH	29	2	Excellent
3	III BPTH	30.6667	0.57735	Excellent
4	IV BPTH	31.3333	0.57735	Excellent



CRMS



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


Analysis of Feedback forms on Carriculum by Alumini

MPT_h

(2016-2017)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

SR. NO.	YEAR	MEAN	SD	REMARK
1	I MPT _h	30.3333	0.57735	Excellent
2	II MPT _h	31.3333	0.57735	Excellent



Praveen



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Parameters	% Alumni rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Infrastructure facilities provided	0%	60%	30%	10%
Academic facilities	0%	30%	60%	10%
Helpfulness of administrative Staff	0%	40%	50%	10%
Adequacy of additional inputs	0%	70%	20%	10%
Recognition to the students of the Institute by Industries / Academic Institute	0%	40%	20%	40%
Placement of Students	0%	20%	30%	50%



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2016-17

Any other suggestions:

1. Alumni meet should be conducted
2. Alumni e-learning should be done
3. Apart from regular teaching, Social awareness and human values to be imparted to students



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

PEER FEEDBACK

2016-17

Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

(1. strongly disagree, 2 .disagree, 3. neither agree nor disagree, 4. agree, 5. strongly agree)
(2.

Sr No	1	2	3	4	5	Particulars
1	0	0	1(4.34%)	20(86.95%)	2(8.68%)	20 (86.95%)reported agree for Syllabus is need based & suitable to The course.
2	0	0	0	20(86.95%)	3(13.04%)	20(86.95%) reported agree for Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined
3	0	0	0	20(86.95%)	3(13.04%)	20(86.95%) reported agree for Course content is followed by corresponding update Reference materials.
4	0	0	5(21.73 %)	15(65.21%)	3(13.04%)	15(65.21%) reported strongly agree for Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the Library per subject.
5	0	0	0	18(78.26%)	5(21.73%)	18(78.26%) reported agree for The course/s has good balance between Theory and appli
6	0	0	4(17.39 %)	12(52.17%)	7(30.43%)	12(52.17%) reported strongly agree for Tests and examinations schedule is well planned And scheme of examination is well suited for overall assessment
7	0	0	2(8.68%)	12(52.17%)	9(39.13%)	12(52.17%) reported strongly agree for Unbiased and fair evaluation method is Practiced in theory and practical Assessment
8	0	0	2(8.68%)	10(43.47%)	11(47.82 %)	11(47.82%)reported strongly agree for Good time remuneration facilitated.
9	0	0	0	12(52.17%)	11(47.82 %)	12(52.17%)reported strogly agree for Ecofriendly and green campus with Comfortable staying facilities provided



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

10	0	0	0	11(47.82%)	12(52.17%)	12(52.17%)reported strogly agree for Teaching and administrative staffs are co-operative and practice good communicat
----	---	---	---	------------	------------	---



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Psychology	29.5	0.707107	Excellent
2	Kinesiotherapeutics	30	1.414214	Excellent
3	Electrical agents	29	2.828427	Excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – III BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
2	PDMS	30.5	2.12132	Very good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – IV BPTb

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT in musculoskeletal	30.7	1.52	Excellent
2	PT in neurosciences	28.5	2.08	Excellent
3	PT in medical and surgical	29.7	0.70	Excellent
4	PT in community health	27.3	4.24	Very good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – I MPTh

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT prac I	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
2	PT prac II	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
3	Adv PT I	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
4	Adv PT II	30.2	1.31656	Excellent
5	Research Biostatistics	30.2	1.31656	Excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II MPTh

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
2	Specialty	30.1	0.8756	excellent





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2016-17

Any other suggestions:

1. Employability skills may be improved
2. Detailed syllabus of Nutrition need to be included



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

PARENT FEEDBACK


2016-17

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences

(July 2017)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-16	Poor
17-32	Good
33-48	Very Good
49-64	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	40.637	15.511	Very Good
III/I	48.595	20.841	Excellent
III/III	46.615	12.103	Very Good
Interns	41.537	15.838	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


PARENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences

(December 2017)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-16	Poor
17-32	Good
33-48	Very Good
49-64	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	52.333	14.517	Excellent
III/I	46.928	14.430	Very Good
III/III	39.769	15.215	Very Good
Interns	37.250	14.463	Very Good





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

PARENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

Feedback was obtained from parents of the students on aspects like infrastructure, programmes arranged by the department for achieving clinical exposure, encouragement to students for participation in various co-curricular activities, placement activities, effort of department taken for personality development and student mentoring.

Results are as follows:

1. From parents of 1st year , 2nd year, 3rd year and 4th year BBSc Nursing, 12.5%, 12.5%, 14 and 6% parents reported as excellent where as 74%, 76%, 86%, 78 % parents reported as very good, respectively
2. In 1st PB BSc Nursing 78 % and 86% parents reported as very good.
3. In second year MSc 100 % parents reported as very good

Course / Criteria	%
1ST YEAR BSC NURSING	28 parents
EXCELENT	12.5
VERY GOOD	74
GOOD	12.5
AVERAGE	0
POOR	0
IIRD YEAR B SC NURSING	18 parents
EXCELENT	12.5
VERY GOOD	74
GOOD	12.5
AVERAGE	0
POOR	0
IIIRD YEAR BSC NURSING	15 parents
EXCELENT	14
VERY GOOD	86



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

GOOD	0
AVERAGE	0
POOR	0
IVTH Year BSc Nursing	7 parents
EXCELENT	6
VERY GOOD	78
GOOD	17
AVERAGE	0
POOR	0
1ST POST BASIC BSC	
EXCELENT	14
VERY GOOD	86
GOOD	
AVERAGE	
POOR	
Course / Criteria	%
2ND POST BASIC BSC NURSING	
EXCELENT	6
VERY GOOD	78
GOOD	17
AVERAGE	0
POOR	0
1ST MSC NURSING	
EXCELENT	0
VERY GOOD	26
GOOD	74
AVERAGE	



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

POOR	
2ND MSC NURSING	4
EXCELENT	
VERY GOOD	100
GOOD	
AVERAGE	
POOR	



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

PARENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Krishna College of Physiotherapy

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES 'DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY'


FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS BY PARENTS

Ist BPTd

(2016 - 2017)

Grading	Mean	SD
Poor	2.27	2.3
Good	7.72	2.99
Very Good	4.82	2.37
Excellent	1.22	1.6





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES 'DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY'


FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS BY PARENTS

IInd BPTb

(2016 - 2017)

Grading	Mean	SD
Poor	0.84	2.7
Good	4	3.99
Very Good	5.56	3.5
Excellent	5.57	4.5





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES 'DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY'


FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS BY PARENTS

IIIrd BPTb

(2016 - 2017)

Grading	Mean	SD
Poor	7.18	4.8
Good	5.94	2.9
Very Good	2.47	2.76
Excellent	1.18	2.22





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES 'DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY'


FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS BY PARENTS

IVth BPT^h

(2016 - 2017)

Grading	Mean	SD
Poor	2.34	2.9
Good	5.77	2.2
Very Good	5.34	2.6
Excellent	2.66	2.4





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

PARENT FEEDBACK 2016-17


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample Size - 7

1) How is the institute policy for sensitizing students towards issues like gender equality (non-discrimination)?



2) How is the institute policy for sensitizing students towards issues like environmental safety, ethics and values?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

3) How do you rate monitoring mechanism for teaching learning by the institute?



4) How do you rate the institute for the availability of clinical facilities and patients?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

5) How do you rate the institute for conducting academic activities for better knowledge and skill acquisition (deep learning)?



6) How do you rate the institute for the availability and adequacy of classrooms, demonstration rooms, practical halls and clinical (patients) material?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

7) How are the basic requirements (hostel facilities, hygienic food and safe drinking water) provided by the institute?



8) How are the co-curricular and extra-curricular activities (sport / gymnasium) facilities provided by the institute?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

9) How do you rate the institute efforts in context to getting career guidance / placement activities?



10) How do you rate the transparency in evaluation process of examination system?





KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT PARENT FEEDBACK 2016-17

Any other suggestions:

1. Marks should be communicated with parents



KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


EMPLOYEE FEEDBACK

2016-17


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample Size: 7

1) How is the institute policy of sensitizing students towards issues like gender equality (non-discrimination)?



2) How is the institute policy of sensitizing students towards issues like environmental safety, ethics & values?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT


3) How do you rate the community service / projects with NGOs, participation in various awareness campaigns, exhibitions on socially relevant issues etc?



4) How do you rate the involvement of institute in various national health programmes?



5) How do you rate institute for organizing guest lectures, workshops and conferences?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


6) How do you rate institute for providing residence to employees?



7) How do you rate the facilities provided by the institute for acquiring soft skills?



8) How do you rate overall working environment of the institute?






KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

9) How is the information communication technology (ICT), sports / gymnasium facilities provided by the institute?



10) How do you rate the institute’s efforts in context to getting jobs and placements for students?

